Adam M. Solinger, Esq. Las Vegas Defense Group, LLC Nevada Bar Number 13963 2970 W. Sahara Ave Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 378-24075 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 3:18-CR-00066-HDM-WGC 8 Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO CONTINUE V. 9 MOTION RESPONSE DEADLINES JAMES "JIMMY" EVANS, Sr., 10 and BOBBY JO KISSEL, 11 (FIRST REQUEST) Defendants. 12 13 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between NICHOLAS A. 14 TRUTANICH, United States Attorney, and JAMES E. KELLER, Assistant 15 United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America and 16 ADAM M. SOLINGER, Esq. counsel for Defendant JAMES EVANS that the 17 parties shall have to and including September 3, 2019, to file any 18 and all responses to the dispositive motions. 19 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the parties shall have 20 to and including September 10, 2019, to file any and all replies to 21 the response to the dispositive motions. 22 The Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons: 23 1. The parties believe that there is a resolution that would make the 24 pretrial motions moot and they do not wish to engage in further 25 litigation and utilize their respective resources towards the same 26

if the case resolves as the parties anticipate.

This is the First Stipulation to continue the motion 2. response deadlines but the deadlines have been previously continued pursuant to the stipulations to continue all deadlines previously filed. DATED this 2nd day of August, 2019. /s/ ADAM M. SOLINGER ADAM M. SOLINGER, ESQ. 2970 W. Sahara Ave Las Vegas, NV 89102 Attorney for James Evans /s/ JAMES E. KELLER JAMES E. KELLER, AUSA 400 South Virginia Street Suite 900 Reno, NV 89501 Attorney for Plaintiff 

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

) 3:18-CR-00066-HDM-WGC

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER TO CONTINUE

MOTION RESPONSE DEADLINES

JAMES "JIMMY" EVANS, Sr.,

and

BOBBY JO KISSEL,

Defendants.

)

(FIRST REQUEST)

## FINDINGS OF FACTS

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

The continuance is necessary for the following reasons.

1. The parties believe that there is a resolution that would make the pretrial motions moot and they do not wish to engage in further litigation and utilize their respective resources towards the same if the case resolves as the parties anticipate.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into the account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, title 18, United States Code § 3161(h)(7)(A) when considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code §§ 3161 (h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(B)(iv). ORDER IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including September 3, 2019, to file any and all responses to the dispositive motions. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including September 10, 2019, to file any and all replies to the response to the dispositive motions. **DATED** this 2nd day of August , 2019. Howard & Mckilles UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I on the date below, I electronically filed the
foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court by using the ECF
system which will send a notice of electronic filing to the
following:

JAMES E. KELLER, AUSA

DATED this 6th day of August, 2019.

ADAM M. SOLINGER, ESQ.